

PUBLIC RESPONSE

We would like to clarify follow-up actions in regards to findings in HCSA Peer Review Report of PT. TSE A.

A. Regarding to empty land for hunting ground

Regarding to the integrated conservation and land use plan, unplanted area in PT. TSE A is a combined conservation area for HCV and HCS. So far, we didn't find any hunting cases of local community in this conservation area. We will continue to monitor and have discussions with the local community if there are any necessities of hunting in this area. We commit to reflect them to the future conservation and land use plan.

B. Regarding to survey plot and latin name

We will add plots complete more details on the type of Latin name when the monitoring is conducted.

C. The interpretation result of YRF, Scrub and Shrub

When we made the HCS report, we defined YRF as a land cover containing small diameter vegetation exactly as the definition of shrub on HCSA Toolkit V1 (released in April 2015). There was only pioneer type of vegetation at the time of studies, therefore it was not included in the patch analysis because it's not forest class based on HCSA Toolkit V1. We have completed the HCS full report at the end of December 2016 and the report referred not only to HCSA Toolkit V1 (as written in page 23 of the summary report) but also other documents such as the RSPO and other researches related to land cover analysis. While the latest HCSA Toolkit v2 was released on May 3rd, 2017, this is the reason why we excluded it from the patch analysis.

And before we started peer review, we have notified to HCS Approach that we have used toolkit v1 (2015) in emails sent on 10th and 24th May 2017.




Figure 1. Land cover map and potential HCS area in PT TSE POP-A